may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.Res. 525.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

## AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR DOLLARS TO CLASSROOM ACT

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, we have a number of important issues coming before the House in the next 3 weeks.

The Committee on Rules is planning to meet the week of September 14 to grant a rule which may limit the amendment process on H.R. 3248, the Dollars to the Classroom Act.

Any Member who wishes to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies and a brief explanation of the amendment by 12 noon on Wednesday, September 16, to the Committee on Rules, at Room H-312 in the Capitol.

Amendments should be drafted to the text of the bill as reported by the Committee on Education and the Workforce. The report will be filed today.

### ELECTION OF MEMBER TO COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, at the direction of the Democratic Caucus, I offer a privileged resolution (H.Res. 530) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

#### HOUSE RESOLUTION 530

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

To the Committee on the Judiciary, THOMAS M. BARRETT of Wisconsin.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4006

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name withdrawn as a cosponsor of H.R. 4008

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise to inquire about next week's schedule from the leader, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARMEY).

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FAZĬO of California. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce we have concluded the legislative business for the week. The House will next meet on Monday, September 14, at 10:30 a.m. for morning hour and at 12 o'clock noon for legislative business. We do not expect any recorded votes before 5 p.m. on next Monday.

On Monday, September 14, we will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Members' offices this afternoon.

On Tuesday, September 15, and throughout the balance of the week, the House will consider the following legislation:

H.J. Res. 117, a resolution expressing the Sense of the House that marijuana is a dangerous and addictive drug and should not be legalized for medicinal use:

H.R. 4006, the Lethal Drug Abuse Prevention Act;

The Drug Demand Reduction Act of 1998:

H.R. 4300, the Western Hemisphere Drug Elimination Act.

We will also consider H.R. 3736, the Workforce Improvement and Protection Act of 1998; and H.R. 3248, the Dollars to the Classroom Act.

Mr. Speaker, we are also hoping to go to conference on several appropriations bills and perhaps get a few conference reports completed next week. We hope to conclude legislative business for the week by 2 p.m. on Friday, September 18.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I yield to the gentleman from New York, who has some questions about their primary.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday, New York has a primary, and other States as well. There are probably seven or eight States that have a primary.

I happen to have a contested primary election, as some of my colleagues do as well. I know in the past we have avoided having votes on a day that States are having primaries, and I am wondering if the same consideration could be given to those of us in New York who have a primary. Because, obviously, if we are running for election, we cannot be here and we would miss votes. And again, it has been done for other States, and I am wondering if it could be done on Tuesday, as well.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FAZĬO of California. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) for his inquiry; and I certainly appreciate the concern the gentleman has

Unfortunately, given the rush of work we have yet to complete this year and some lost time earlier this year because of tragic and unforeseen events and the efforts that we have made to make sure that we fully and completely accommodate the Jewish holiday, we did not feel that it would be possible this year to suspend votes on this important Tuesday.

I know that the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) will agree that is easier for me than for the gentleman. And let me just, if I may, express my regret and apologies to the gentleman

for the inconvenience.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would further yield, if I may ask the majority leader one further question.

Would it not be possible to perhaps hold votes on Tuesday, hold votes over until Wednesday, to do the debates on Tuesday, as we so very often do, but not have the votes actually held until Tuesday?

That would not slow down the procedures of the House or the ability of the House to do the kinds of work that we need to do, but it would be fairer to have the actual votes on Wednesday.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman would continue to yield, I would say to the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) I do not believe that is possible, given the structure of the work that we have before us for that day.

But I will again, out of consideration for the gentleman from New York and others as well, I will see what and if some accommodation can be made, and I will get announcements to their offices as soon as I can determine so.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, if I could ask further of the majority leader, could he give us some understanding as to which days the bills that he listed are expected to come to the floor?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman would yield further, I would expect that we would do the work related to the work on drugs, H. Res. 117, H.R. 4006, the Drug Demand Act, and H.R. 4300; we would expect that we would occupy most of Tuesday with that work.

In addition to that, of course we have the Workforce Improvement and Protection Act and the Dollars to the Classroom Act. We would expect those two bills to be taken up later on in the week.

Let me again remind the gentleman, we will also be occupying a good deal of the floor time with respect to going to conference with some of the work related to the appropriations bills.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, if I could ask the gentleman, are there any late nights anticipated in next week's schedule?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the inquiry of the gentleman, and I would say that at this point we do not anticipate there will be a need for any late nights. And, obviously, if we see something that changes, we will let the body know as soon as possible.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, one further, final question.

Could the gentleman give us any understandings as to which appropriations bills he expects to come before us next week with motions to go to conference with the potential of instruction for conferees and all that goes with it?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate again the inquiry, and I am, unfortunately, unable to give him that. But if the gentleman would check with the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. LIVINGSTON), the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations, perhaps he could get a better read directly from him about what his plans are with respect to asking for time.

#### ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14. 1998

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10:30 a.m. on Monday next for morning hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

# DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

#### TRIBUTE TO CHARLES C. DIGGS

(Mr. STOKES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STOKES. Mr. Speaker, I rise to advise the House of the recent passing of our former colleague and friend, former Congressman Charles C. Diggs, Jr. Former Congressman Diggs passed on August 28, 1998.

Charlie Diggs was elected to the United States Congress from Michigan's 13th Congressional District in 1954. He was Michigan's first black Member of Congress.

During his Congressional career, he was one of the founding members of the Congressional Black Caucus and served as the first chairman of that group.

#### □ 1215

He is also credited with establishing home rule for the District of Columbia, as well as authoring legislation to create the University of the District of Columbia.

Mr. Speaker, at the funeral services for Charlie Diggs, I was honored to offer remarks on behalf of the Congressional Black Caucus. I want to share my remarks and a copy of Charlie's obituary with his friends across the Nation

Charlie was a giant in the Black political history of America. We extend our deepest sympathy to his wife, Darlene, and members of the Diggs family. He will never be forgotten.

The materials referred to are as follows:

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE LOUIS STOKES, MEMBER OF CONGRESS—A SPECIAL TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE CHARLES DIGGS

Ebenezer A.M.E. Church, Fort Washington, MD, September 1, 1998

Darlene and members of the Diggs family. I speak here today to pay tribute to a giant in the black political history of America. I speak on behalf of the 39 black Members of Congress who inherit his legacy. The entire Congressional Black Caucus, chaired by Congresswoman Maxine Waters, liken his passing to the falling of a giant oak in the forest. Present today are Ms. Waters, Congressman Clay of Missouri, Albert Wynn of Maryland, former Congresswoman Cardiss Collins, and myself.

Long before many of us came to Congress, Charlie Diggs was a legend to us. Both his father's and his own political career had made the Diggs name a prominent family name among blacks all over America. We, too, had taken pride in 1955 in seeing this young State senator, join William L. Dawson and Reverend Adam Clayton Powell, as Michigan's first black Congressman. He hit the ground running in Congress and quietly established his reputation as a fighter for civil and human rights.

In the same year he was sworn in to Congress, Charlie received national attention for monitoring the trial of two white Mississippians accused of murdering Emmett Till. Following the trial, he proposed that the representation in Congress from Mississippi be reduced. He also called upon President Eisenhower to call a special session of Congress to consider civil rights issues.

Charlie endured fire bombings at homes he was staying in in Selma and Mississippi while taking up the cause of tenants being evicted from a slum. He investigated racial disputes at a Job Corps camp and in the United States Army. In fact, Congressman William Clay, who would not come to Congress until 1969, was one who was affected by this. In his book entitled, "Just Permanent Interests," Clay first speaks of "Diggs' long and glorious career," and then tells that "In late 1954, when I was a member of the Army Chemical Corps, stationed at Fort McClellan, Alabama, I was prompted to call upon him for assistance even before he was sworn into Congress.

Between 1955 and 1968 John Conyers, Robert Nix and Augustus Hawkins had come to Congress. So, in 1969 when Bill Clay, Shirley Chisholm and I came to Congress, for the first time in history there were nine black Congresspersons. By 1971, we had been joined by Charlie Rangel, Ron Dellums, Parren Mitchell, George W. Collins and Ralph Metcalfe. This was the beginning of the Congressional Black Caucus and we elected Charlie Diggs as our first chairman.

Charlie's speech at our first Congressional Black Caucus dinner in 1971 established the creed under which the Congressional Black Caucus exists. He said, "We meet to assert the common bonds that unite men and women of all races, creeds and generations who share a fierce determination to liberate the legions of the oppressed. We come to-

gether to arm and equip ourselves to fight more effectively than ever before for those who are too seldom victors, too often victims"

Under Charlie's leadership, we became a formidable force in the United States Congress. One of our finest hours was the meeting with President Richard Nixon following our boycott of him for neglecting the legitimate needs and rights of black Americans. At this historic meeting, in his quiet, dignified manner, Charlie Diggs told President Nixon. ''Our people are no longer asking for equality as a rhetorical promise. They are demanding from the national administration and from elected officials without regard to party affiliation, the only kind of equality that ultimately has any real meaning—equality of results.''

President Nixon's failure to adhere to our demands forced Charlie to make a dramatic and brilliant move. He appointed the Diggs "shadow cabinet" which consisted of black professionals who were experts in government, and whom Charlie gave titles similar to that of each member of Nixon's Cabinet. Whenever a Nixon Cabinet member presented an administration policy or position, the Diggs "cabinet" counterpart would respond from the black perspective.

It was during this period of time that Vice President Spiro T. Agnew, while traveling in Africa, verbally attacked America's black leaders and the Congressional Black Caucus. Under Charlie's leadership, the caucus responded on the floor of the House. Charlie said, "Although his statements are very difficult to follow with any degree of logic, it is not hard to understand that times and the people have indeed passed him by—the matter of black leadership is not within his province to decide."

In two areas, Charlie's legislative accomplishments will remain etched in history. Under his chairmanship of the House District of Columbia Committee, home rule was established, giving the District of Columbia the right to elect their own mayor and city council for the first time in more than a century. He also authored the legislation creating the University of the District of Columbia. The other area was his tenure as chairman of the Subcommittee on African Affairs. He was acknowledged and respected by everyone as Capitol Hill's foremost elected official on Africa. He was loved all over Africa.

So, Charlie, we benefitted from your leadership, your friendship, your letters, your phone calls and your visits. You walked tall and quietly carried a big stick. Good night, Dean. We'll miss you.

#### OBITUARY

Charles C. Diggs, Jr., State Senator, Congressman and Mortician, was born December 2, 1922, and departed this life August 24, 1998. He was the only child of the late Mayme E. Jones Diggs and Charles C. Diggs, Sr. The Diggs Seniors were Morticians, pioneers in business, public service and community activists.

Charles C. Diggs, Jr. began his political career in 1951 when he was elected to the Michigan State Senate. The youngest member of the Senate, he served a total of two terms. During this tenure, he compiled a record that brought the admiration of leaders throughout the state. An advocate and firm supporter of social legislation, he did much to assist Governor G. Mennen Williams promote a constructive program of human relations for the state. He was instrumental in pushing legislation through the Senate that brought about good business and labor relations, compulsory school attendance, and a re-evaluation of restrictions to age limitations on voting.